pale yellow oil (45 *mg).* Analysis by GLC (column A, 185 **"C)** now revealed a **2:1** mixture of **34** and of the compound which had revealed a doublet of triplets at 3.5 ppm.⁴³ The less abundant of the two compounds was therefore 35.

helpful discussions, the Australian Research Grants Committee for financial support, and the Commonwealth Government for a postgraduate scholarship (to R.D.W.).

Stereochemistry and Mechanism of Hydride Abstraction from Organostannanes'

S. J. Hannon and T. **G.** Traylor*

Department of Chemistry, University of California, Sun Diego, *La* Jolla, California *92093*

Received December **30,** 1980

The reaction of trityl cation with **threo-3-deuterio-2-(trimethylstannyl)butane** to produce 2-butenes was shown to proceed with about **99%** anti stereochemistry. The primary and secondary isotope effects are **3.7** and **1.1,** respectively. These data are interpreted in terms of a hydride-abstraction mechanism leading to a σ - π -conjugated carbocation which subsequently loses the trimethyltin group.

The stabilizing interaction of carbon metal σ bonds with electron-deficient π systems has been demonstrated by

σ ^{- π} (vertical stabilization)

observing the low ionization potentials of allyl and benzyl

metal compounds.²⁻⁴ These studies afforded estimates\n
$$
\overbrace{\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}\end{array}\right)}^{\text{CH}_2MPh_3} \xrightarrow{\tau} \overbrace{\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}\end{array}\right)}^{\text{CH}_2MPh_3} \xrightarrow{\tau}^{\text{CH}_2MPh_3} \qquad (1)
$$

of the resonance electron-donating abilities of the groups $CH₂MR₃$ and $CH₂HgX$ where $M = Si$, Ge, Sn, or Pb. Expressed as $\sigma^+{}_{\text{CH}_2M}$ in the linear free-energy relationship $\Delta IP = \rho \sigma^+$, the values range from -0.4 for CH_2SiPh_3 to -1.2 for $CH_2HgC_6H_{11}$ compared to $\sigma^+_{\text{p-NH}_2} = -1.3$, indicating a powerful electron donation by C-metal $\sigma-\pi$ conjugation.^{2a} Such resonance stabilization, observable in vertical ionization has been called "vertical stabilization"28 to contrast the resonance nature of this stabilization to the neighboring-group participation in which a nucleophilic center moves closer to the cationic center. These spectroscopic results and their interpretation offer an attractive explanation of the " β effect"⁵ in organometallic chemistry.

Among the many chemical processes which tend to generate a positive charge β to a carbon-metal bond (the β effect) and thus partake of this $\sigma-\pi$ conjugation, the dehydrometalation of alkyl metal compounds with trityl cation⁶ stands out as both structure sensitive and well behaved^{7,8} (eq 2).

$$
R_1
$$
\n
$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}\right\{\n\begin{array}{c}\nR_1 \\
\vdots \\
R_n\n\end{array}\n\end{array}\right\}
$$
\n
$$
R_1
$$
\n
$$
C = C \left(1 + \frac{1}{\text{Mean (2)}}
$$

Kinetic studies of this reaction in our laboratories⁷ and those of Reutov, Uglova, and co-workers[&] have revealed several characteristics which are indicative of the heterolytic process. The reaction is characterized by the following: **(1)** almost quantitative yields of Ph₃CH and olefin;8d (2) accurately second-order kinetics, first order in each reagent;^{7,8d} (3) increasing k_2 as the metal becomes more electropositive⁷ (log $k_2 = \rho \sigma^+_{\text{CH}_2\text{MMe}_3} + \text{constant}$; M
= Pb > Sn > Ge > Si); (4) an increase in k_2 when R_1 is a resonance electron-donating substituent;^{2a,c,8c} (5) an increase in k_2 with electron withdrawal by Y in YPhC⁺Ph₂^{8c} (log $k_2 = \rho \sigma^+ \gamma$ + constant); (6) a primary isotope effect of $k_{\text{H}}/k_{\text{D}} = 2.5-4$;^{8b} (7) relative insensitivity to solvent polarity;^{8d} (8) a requirement of a periplanar transition state.^{2a} These observations indicate that hydride removal occurs at the transition state which is stereochemically rigid and has carbenium ion character.

However, the stereochemistry of the reaction is not established nor is there a clear indication which of the three likely mechanisms (eq **3-5)** occurs with organometallic compounds.

⁽¹⁾ (a) Taken **from** the Ph.D. **Thesis** of S.J.H., University of **California** at *San* Diego, **1976.** The National Science Foundation supported this reaearch (Grant **MpS73-08414),** and the **NMFt** facilities which were used were supported by the National Institutes of Health (Grant RR00708).

^{(2) (}a) Traylor, T. G.; Berwin, H. J.; Jerkunica, J.; Hall, M. L. Pure
Appl. Chem. 1972, 30, 599-606. (b) Brown, R. S.; Eaton, D. F.; Hosomi,
A.; Traylor, T. G.; Wright, J. M. J. Organomet. Chem. 1974, 66, 249-254.
(c) Tra

^{146-147.}

⁽⁴⁾ Schmidt, **H.;** Schweig, A.; Manuel, G. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1973,** 55, C1-C3.

⁽⁵⁾ Coates, **C. E.;** Green, M. L. H.; Wade, K. "Organometallic Chemistry"; Methuen: London, 1968; Vol. 11, pp **211-213.**

⁽⁶⁾ Reutov, O. A.; Uglova, E. V.; Makhaev, V. D.; Petrosyan, V. S. J.
Org. Chem. USSR (Engl. Transl.) 1970, 6, 2164-2168.
(7) Jerkunica, J. M.; Traylor, T. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1971, 93,

^{6278-6279.}

⁽⁸⁾ (a) Uglova, E. V.; Brodskaya, I. G.; Grishin, **Y.** K.; Reutov, 0. A. J. Org. Chem. USSR (Engl. Transl.) 1977, 13, 217–220. (b) Uglova, E.
V.; Brodskaya, I. G.; Reutov, O. A. *Ibid.* 1976, 12, 1357. (c) Uglova, E.
V.; Brodskaya, I. G.; Reutov, O. A. *Ibid.* 1976, 12, 1357. (c) Uglova, E.
V.;

In order to further understand the mechanism of this reaction, we have determined stereochemistry and the primary and secondary isotope effects of the dehydrometalation of **sec-butyltrimethylstannane.** The bimolecular abstraction reaction could assume either the syn or anti transition states along the reaction paths of the mecha-

nisms of eq 3-5. Precedence **of** other elimination reactions $9-11$ favor the anti geometry, but these indications require confirmation.

Results

Stereochemistry. The stereochemistry of the dehydrometalation of **(2-buty1)trimethylstannane** (eq 6) was

require confirmation.

\nResults

\nStereochemistry. The stereochemistry of the dehydrometalation of (2-buty) trimethylstannane (eq 6) was

\n
$$
P_{h_3C}^{-1}BF_4^- + (CH_3)_{3}SnCHC_2H_5 \longrightarrow
$$
\n
$$
+ \sqrt{-1} + P_{h_3CH} + M_{e_3Sn}^{-1}BF_4^- \quad (6)
$$

determined by examining the products of the reaction of trityl fluoborate with **threo-3-deuterio-2-(trimethyl**stanny1)butane as shown in the following schemes (eq 7-10). The production of three butene isomers provides

Antiperiplanar React ion

a method of determining both stereochemistry and isotope effects. The anti mechanism leads to trans-2-deuterio-2butene **and** cis-2-butene whereas the syn mechanism produces cis-2-deuterio-2-butene and trans-2-butene. The accompanying 1-butene, **having** the deuterium remote from the reaction center, suffers no isotope efffect and thus

serves as an internal standard for the measurement of $k_{\text{H}}/k_{\text{D}}$ in the other positions.

The **threo-3-deuterio-2-(trimethylstannyl)butane** was synthesized by the well-known stereospecific deuterioboration-oxidation¹² of cis -2-butene, followed by tosylation of the resulting **erythro-3-deuterio-2-butanol** and displacement of the tosylate with **(trimethylstanny1)lithium** (eq 11-14). Reaction 11 is at least 98% stereospecific,¹³

and reactions 12 and 13 show complete retention of configuration.13J4 Displacement of the tosylate group by **all** nucleophiles, including metal anions, proceeds with inversion of configuration.¹⁵⁻¹⁷ Therefore we expected 98% configurationally pure tin product which is 98% deuterated from the B_2D_6 (containing 2% H impurity). The results presented below confirm our expectations with regard to isotopic and stereochemical purity.¹⁸ But we rely on previous reports $12-17$ for the stereochemistry of reactions 11-14.

Reactions were carried out at 24 **"C** in methylene chloride at about a 1 **M** concentration in each reagent. Reaction times greatly exceeded those required for 99% consumption of one of the reagents. Separation and identification of the olefinic products by gas chromatography **(GC)** and gas chromatography-mass spectrometry **(GC/MS)** provided the experimental tools for the determination of the stereochemistry and the isotope effects.

(14) Tipson, R. S. *J. Org. Chem.* **1944,9,235-241. (15) Koermer, G. S.; Hall, M. L.; Traylor, T. G.** *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1**972,** *94***, 7205–7206.
(16) Kitching, W.; Olszowry, H.; Waugh, J.; Doddrell, D.** *J. Org. Chem***.**

^{(9) (}a) Slack, D.; Baird, M. C. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1974,
701–702. (b) Laycock, D. E.; Baird, M. C. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1978,
3307–3308. (c) Laycock, D. E.; Hartgerink, J.; Baird, M. C. J. Org. Chem. **1980,45, 291-299.**

^{(10) (}a) Hart, D. W. **Ph.D. Thesis, Princeton University, 1975.** Schwartz, J.; Labinger, J. A. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl*. 1976, *15,*
333–340. (b) Reetz, M. T.; Stephan, W. *Ibid.* 1977, *16, 44–45. (c) Reetz, M. T.; Schinzer, D. Ibid. 1977, 16, 44*.

⁽¹¹⁾ Washburne, S. **S.; Simolike, J. B.** *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1974,8I, 41-44.**

⁽¹²⁾ Brown, H. C.; Subba Rao, B. C. J. Am. *Chem. SOC.* **1959,** *81,* **6428-6434.**

⁽¹³⁾ Kibby, C. L.; Lande, S. S.; Hall, W. **K. J.** *Am. Chem.* **SOC. 1972, 94,214-220. The correction factor was taken directly from thie reference. Using an identical synthesis to make the labeled alcohol, these workers** pyrolyzed the acetate of the erythro-2-butanol-3-d to find a 2% loss of **stereospecificity in the deuterioboration.**

^{1978,43, 898-906.} (17) *San* **Filippo, J., Jr.;** Silbermann, **J.; Fagan, P. J.** *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1978,100,4834-4842.**

⁽¹⁸⁾ Stark, T. J.; Nelson, N. T.; Jensen, F. R. *J. Org. Chem.* **1980,45, 420-428. This paper describes a different preparation of 3-deuterio-2-(trimethylatannyl)butane and ita use in determination of the mechanism** of **free-radical-induced HSnMes elimination.**

Hydride Abstraction from Organostannanes

^{*a*} Corrected for ¹³C and $M - 1$ fragmentation. ^{*b*} Nor- $57/(56 + 57)$. $\frac{d}{6}(56 + 57)$. **malized to 1-butene to correct for isotopic impurity.**

Base-line separation of the three butene isomers was achieved in the GC by using a Duropak n-octane on Porasil C column packing at room temperature. This separation permitted the mass spectroscopic measurement of the deuterium content of each isomer, which was identified by comparing its R_f with that of an authentic sample of the olefin.

Mass spectral data were obtained at 10 or 15 eV to minimize fragmentation and to reduce background noise; the peak heights for the isotopically labeled reaction products were corrected for M - 1 fragmentation **peaks** and $M + 1$ peaks due to natural-abundance ¹³C, measured experimentally from the $M - 1$ and $M + 1$ peaks of the nonlabeled reaction products. Both **cis-** and trans-2 butene showed the same amount of $M - 1$ fragmentation in the nonlabeled olefins so that the same correction was applied to both in the labeled experiment. The 1-butene differed in **M** - 1 fragmentation, and the labeled 1-butene was corrected accordingly. The $M + 1$ peaks were the same throughout and agreed fairly well with the amounts predicted due to natural-abundance 13C.

Table I gives the corrected mass spectral peak height ratios for the butenes obtained from the elimination of a /3 hydride from **threo-3-deuterio-2-(trimethylstannyl)bu**tane by trityl fluoborate.

Minimum values for the correction factor for the loss of stereospecificity in the synthesis of the labeled compound (0.02),13 **as** well **as** for the amount of nondeuterated impurity in the sodium borodeuteride reagent (less than 0.02) compare favorably with the measured mass spectra of the 3-deuterio-1-butene and cis-2-butene produced from the deuterated stannane reactant (0.029 and 0.024, respectively). The cis-2-butene mass spectrum measures the cumulative effects of the loss of stereospecificity in the synthesis, the isotopic impurity in the reagents, and the amount of syn elimination under investigation. As the 3-deuterio-1-butene mass spectrum reflects only the amount of isotopic impurity in the reagents, it effectively accounts for the entire amount of monodeuterated product in the cis-2-butene sample. Thus the stereospecificity of the synthesis and the elimination reaction is absolute within the accuracy of this technique.

The elimination is greater than 99% antiperiplanar in producing cis olefin and is greater than 97 % stereospecific in producing trans-2-butene.

Isotope Effects. The primary isotope effect was obtained from an analysis of integrated GC peak areas of the reaction products from both the deuterated and nondeuterated substrates. The secondary isotope effect **was** also measured in the same manner and verified through a competition experiment analyzed by mass spectroscopy. The GC analysis was performed with a flame-ionization detector, and a disk integrator was used to measure peak areas; column conditions were identical with those used for the GC/MS work. The peak areas were not corrected for instrumental response factors or differential solubilities or vapor pressures because the difference between deuterated and nondeuterated olefins should be negligible. *All* data were used **as** a ratio **of** areas so that these factors cancel out. Because the reaction forming 1-butene has the same rate constant in the deuterated and nondeuterated compounds, this rate constant can be used to determine the isotope effects, provided that the reaction is completely stereospecific. Since it is not (Table I), small corrections are made **for** loss of stereospecificity.

Comparing separate experiments for the deuterated and undeuterated tin compound, we can safely assume that k_H' $= k'_D$. Therefore, if the reactions are stereospecific, as shown, we can use eq 15-22 and obtain $(k_H/k_D)_{\text{primary}}$ and (k_H/k_D) _{secondary}. Corrections for the isotopic and stereo-

$$
(k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D})_{\rm primary} = (k_{\rm H}^{\rm cis}/k'_{\rm H})/(k_{\rm D}^{\rm cis}/k'_{\rm D})
$$
 (21)

$$
(k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D})_{\rm secondary} = (k_{\rm H}^{\rm trans}/k'_{\rm H})/(k_{\rm D}^{\rm trans}/k'_{\rm D})
$$
 (22)

chemical impurity (2% and 1%) are trivially small in the primary isotope effect and barely significant for the secondary isotope effect (see eq 23). In the latter case, 2.7%

$$
(k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D})_{\rm secondary} = \frac{k_{\rm H}^{\rm trans}/k'_{\rm H}}{k_{\rm D}^{\rm trans}/k'_{\rm D}} = \frac{(k_{\rm H}^{\rm trans})_{\rm obsd}/1.027}{(k_{\rm D}^{\rm trans})_{\rm obsd}/1.016} \tag{23}
$$

loss of stereospecificity means that $(k_H^{\text{trans}})_{\text{obsd}}$ = $1.027k_H^{\text{trans}}$ and $(k_D^{\text{trans}})_{\text{obsd}} = [1 + 0.027/(k_H k_D^{\text{tr}})_{\text{prim}}]k_D^{\text{trans}}$

As a further verification that the normalization to 1butene is valid and that the other corrections are insignificant, the GC peak areas may be compared by taking the cis/trans ratios from the elimination of the nondeuterated substrate and dividing by cis/trans ratio from the deuterated substrate (eq 24-26). With an intermediate

$$
\frac{0.203}{0.062} = \frac{(k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D})_{\rm prim}^{\rm cis}}{(k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D})_{\rm tr}} \tag{25}
$$

$$
(k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D})_{\rm prim}^{\rm cis} = 3.24 \ (k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D})_{\rm sec}^{\rm trans}
$$
 (26)

value of 1.10 for the secondary isotope effect, a primary isotope effect of 3.56 obtains, in reasonable agreement with the value of 3.70 from the previous treatment. An additional determination of the secondary isotope effect was made by **carrying** out a competitive elimination experiment between a known molar ratio of nondeuterated and deuterated reactants with a limiting amount of trityl fluoborate. **Mass** spectral peak heights were measured for each isomer and the numbers corrected as before for $M - 1$ fragmentation and $M + 1$ peaks. Table II summarizes these data.

In this experiment, the hydride-abstracting reagent, in limiting amount, kinetically competes for the deuterated

Table 11. Ratios of Gas-Liquid Chromatographic Peak Areas of Olefin Products and Derived Isotope Effects

reactant	$cis-2-butene/$ 1-butene	trans-2-butene/ 1-butene	trans/cis	1-butene/2-butenes
$(CH_3)_3$ SnCH(CH ₃)C ₂ H ₅ $(CH3)3$ SnCH(CH ₃)CHDCH ₂	28.5 ± 3.0 7.74 ± 0.7	1.79 ± 0.18 1.57 ± 0.14	0.0626 ± 0.003 0.202 ± 0.012	0.0326 ± 0.0027 0.108 ± 0.0078
k_H/k_D		k_H/k_D		
primary 3.7^a (3.56) ^b		secondary $1.10^c (1.12)^d 1.04^e$)		

^a Calculated from GLC integrated peak areas normalized to 1-butene (eq 21). ^b Calculated from GLC integrated peak
areas and the secondary isotope effect (eq 26). ^c Calculated from eq 23 and the competition experimen **Calculated from eq 22.** *e* **Calculated from the competition experiment.** ^a Calculated from GLC integrated peak areas normalized to 1-butene (eq 21). ^b Calculated from GLC integrated peak

and the nondeuterated substrates in proportion to their molar ratios and the primary and Secondary **isotope** effects. The molar ratio of the substrates may be taken from the weighed amounts of each reagent or from the measured isotopic ratio of the 1-butene products, which should be formed with identical rates, **as** any isotope effect should be vanishingly small. This experiment yields a secondary isotope effect of 1.04 ± 0.10 when the isotopic ratio of trans-2-butene was divided by the corresponding number for 1-butene; when the weighed molar ratio was used to correct the trans-2-butene mass spectral ratio, a value of 1.10 was obtained. The primary isotope effect is not calculable from this experiment.

Discussion

The stereochemical results are in agreement with previous conclusions based upon the faster dehydrometalation of cis- than of *trans-*(4-tert-butylcyclohexyl)trimethyltin.^{2a} Anti hydride abstraction has also been observed with transition-metal organometallic compounds $9-11$ and many other heterolytic elimination reactions. This result and the enormous acceleration of the hydride abstraction rate afforded by β -metal substituents^{2a} suggest the transition state shown below. The simplest mechanism for this

reaction, consistent with this stereochemistry, is concerted elimination, called E_E2 by Uglova et al.^{8a} (eq 3). After attempting to trap an intermediate cation by exchange, they concluded that the tin compounds eliminate by this mechanism. However, the trapping method does not conclusively rule out a short-lived β -trimethyltin alkyl cation (eq 4).

There are very convincing arguments for a β -metalloalkyl cation in which the metal is SiMe_3 , HgR, or various transition metals. For example, abstraction of hydride from ethyl **cyclopentadienyldicarbonyliron** yields a stable cation⁵ (eq 27). The stability of this cation has been

attributed to the Fe d-orbital interaction^{5a,10} although the

rate of ita formation must derive most of its driving force from $\sigma-\pi$ conjugation.⁷ Similar results and interpretations have been offered for the now well-established mercurinium ion.¹⁹ Again dp π back-bonding could contribute to the stability of the cation. Recently the solvolysis of β -(trimethylsilyl)ethyl bromide was shown by Cook, Eaborn, and Walton²⁰ to involve a discrete cationic intermediate by observing rearrangement *(eq* 28). The bridged been offered for the now well-established mercurion.¹⁹ Again dp π back-bonding could contribute stability of the cation. Recently the solvolysis of nethylsilyl) ethyl bromide was shown by Cook, Earnd Walton²⁰ to in

$$
Me3SiCD2CH2Cl \xrightarrow{EtOH} EtOCD2CH2SiMe3
$$
 (28)

ion suggested by this result was supported by theoretical $calculus²¹$ although there are no available filled d orbitals on silicon. The contribution of this bridging to the rate of hydride abstraction will be discussed in the following paper. The fact that MCR_2CR_2 ⁺ is an established intermediate for $M = SIM_{2}^{30}$ and HgR^{18} in solution and for SnR_3 in the gas phase²² make it very likely that such an intermediate also forms in solution when M is GeMe₃ or SnMe₃. The ⁺HgR group is less electronegative and is known to be a better leaving group than either $+SnMe₃$ or $+$ GeMe₃.²³

Isotope effects are **also** consistent with a carbenium ion reaction. Table I11 compares the isotope effects and **ste**reospecificities observed in this study with other elimination processes. The primary isotope effect shows that hydrogen is removed in the rate-limiting process, and the secondary isotope effect is typical of those for carbenium ion forming reactions.% It accords well with that observed by Kreevoy et al. for the deoxymercuration reaction.²⁴ On (eq 29) with the vertical stabilization parameter σ^+ _{CH-MR}.

the basis of the correlation between log
$$
k_2
$$
 for the reaction (eq 29) with the vertical stabilization parameter σ^+ _{CH₂MIR₃} + Ph₃C⁺ $\stackrel{k_2}{\longrightarrow}$
CH₂=CH₂ + ⁺MR₃ + Ph₃CH (29)

for group 4a metals, we have concluded that this reaction derives its acceleration from vertical stabilization without requiring briding or concerted elimination.^{2a}

Product Ratios. The product ratio data verify and confirm the mechanistic implications provided by the

^{(19) (}a) Hanstein, W.; Traylor, T. G. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1967, (20) Cook, M. A.; &born, C.; Walton, D. R. M.** *J. Organomet. Chem.* **4451-4455. (b) Traylor, T.** *G. Acc. Chem. Res.* **1967,2,152-160.**

^{1970,24,301-306.}

⁽²¹⁾ Eaborn, C.; Feichtmayr, F.; Horn, M.; Murrell, J. N. J. Organomet. Chem. 1974, 77, 39–43.
(22) Fish, R. H.; Holmstead, R. L.; Gielen, M.; DePoorter, B. J. Org.

Chem. **1978,43,4969-4971.**

⁽²³⁾ Eaborn, C.; Pande, K. C. *J. Chem. SOC.* **1960, 1566-1571.**

⁽²⁴⁾ (a) Kreevoy, M. M.; Eisen, B. M. *J. crg. Chem.* **1968,** *28,* **2104-2106. (b) Kreevoy, M. M.; Bodem, G. B.** *Ibrd.* **1962,27,4539-4542.** *(c)* **Kreevoy, M. M.; Schaleger, L. L.; Ware, J. C.** *Tram. Faraday SOC.* **1962,58,2433-2438.**

⁽²⁵⁾ Jarvie, A. W. P.; Holt, A.; Thompson, J. *J. Chem. SOC. B* **1970, 746-748.**_

⁽²⁶⁾ Streitwieser, A., Jr.; Jagow, R. H.; Fahey, R. C.; Suzuki, *S. J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1958,80, 2326-2332.**

Hydride Abstraction from Organostannanes *J. Org. Chem., Vol. 46, No. 18, 1981* **3649**

^a Elimination of HgClOCH₃. ^b Elimination of Me₃SiX.

isotope effects and our prior results.^{2a} The rate-limiting step is the initial abstraction of a hydride which is bimolecular and leads to an intermediate organostannane cation. This is exothermic, as is evidenced by the lack of reversibility with added triarylmethane,^{8a} and it does not equilibrate by rotation about the C-C bond **as** is evidenced by the high degree of stereospecific anti elimination. Thus the product ratios reflect the "rate-determining", kinetic, transition state rather than a separate "productdetermining", thermodynamic, transition state.

The high cis/trans ratio is consistent with a transition state where eclipsing interactions do not dominate since this would ordinarily favor trans olefins. **Also** implied is a ground-state rotamer population effect which is smaller than that in the transition state. These conditions usually apply to El-type eliminations with "early" transition states favored by strong bases or powerful leaving groups. This agrees with the assessment of the β -hydride elimination reaction from an organostannane **as** being exothermic and passing through a stabilized intermediate cation. The formation of cis olefin must then proceed through an intermediate which possesses a relatively small eclipsing methyl interaction; this is more characteristic of σ - π -stabilized carbocation **A** than a bridged species **(B)** and is more favorable for an anti elimination than for a syn mechanism.

The driving force for the cis preference in the transition state, which is sufficient to overcome both a ground-state rotamer population handicap **as** well **as** the transition-state gauche methyl interaction, has been ascribed to asymmetric steric requirements of the abstracting reagent or the departing group.^{9c,27} This can be easily visualized for E2 eliminations from tosylates with strong or bulky bases because of the nonlinearity of the oxygen bond angle between the tosylate group and the carbon of the substrate. However, the importance of a similar effect with the trityl cation induced elimination from organostannanes is not clear.

Steric bulk of the abstracting trityl cation alone plays a role in the determination **of** the reaction products, as evidenced by the increase in 1-butene as the trialkylstannane leaving group is made more bulky,^{8c,d} but does not dominate the course of the reaction, **as** the amount of 1-butene produced remains small. The dominant formation of 2-butene except under forcing conditions accords with the interpretation that a stable cationic intermediate is produced. Although the $\sigma-\pi$ conjugative interaction of the carbon-tannane bond with the cation center provides the bulk of the cation stabilization, a secondary cation would be expected to be preferred over a primary cation, thus leading to a preference for 2-ene products, **as** is found.

Conclusion

It now becomes clear that $\sigma-\pi$ conjugation, like elimination processes, has a preferred anti orientation. This results from the better overlap between sp3 orbitals **aligned** antiperiplanar. The very strong geometry-sensitive interaction between the C-metal σ bonds in 1.2-bis(trimethyltin)alkanes, observed by photoelectron spectroscopy,2* is in keeping with this conclusion. The removal of an electron from 3 to give 3^+ indicates a σ - σ interaction

u-a conjugation

of 2.2 eV, and this radical cation is a good model for the dehydrometalation transition state. This view of the transition state is similar to that proposed by Uglova et al. except for the requirement that the C-M bond be broken at the transition in their mechanism.&

The ion which is formed could have either an open or bridged structure. However, the difference in the energies of the open and bridged ions is very small. 29 This means that even if bridging occurs it is unimportant in the stabilization of the transition state because vertical stabilization is sufficient to provide the full driving force.

The following paper offers additional evidence against bridging or concerted elimination.

Experimental Section

All manipulations of organometallic compounds were carried out in an argon atmosphere. Diglyme (MCB), boron trifluoride etherate (MCB), pyridine (Baker, analytical), tetrahydrofuran (Matheson), and p-toluenesulfonyl chloride were purified by methods described by Fieser.³¹ The solvents were freshly distilled

^{(27!} Saunders, W. **H.;** Cockerill, A. F., "Mechanisms of Elimination Reactions"; Wiley-Interscience: New York, **1973;** p **105** ff.

⁽²⁸⁾ Hosomi, A.; Traylor, **T.** G. J. *Am. Chem. Soc.* **1!375,97,3682-3687. (29)** Apeloig, Y.; Schleyer, P. v. R.; Pople, J. A. J. *Am. Chem. SOC.*

⁽³⁰⁾ Tamborski, C.; Ford, F. E.; Soloski, E. J. *J. Org. Chem.* **1963,28, 1977,99,5901-5909. 237-239.**

⁽³¹⁾ Fieser, **L.** F.; Fieser, M., "Reegents for Organic Syntheeis", Wiley New York, **1967;** Vol. **1,** pp **70,265,958,1140,1180,** respectively, for **boron** trifluoride etherate, diglyme, pyridine, tetrahydrofuran, and tosyl **chlo**ride.

before use. Other reagents were used **as** received.

on an LKB Model 9000 equipped with a 10 ft \times ¹/₄ in. column packed with Duropak n-octane on Porasil C. The column temperature was at 35 $^{\circ}$ C and the He flow rate was 25 cm³/min. Mass spectra were taken at 10 or 15 eV to minimize the background and M - 1 fragmentation. Gas chromatographic analyais was done on a Hewlett-Packard Model 700 chromatograph with a flameionization detector, a disk integrator, and a 10 ft \times ¹/₈ in. copper column with the same packing material. NMR spectra were recorded on either a Varian Model T-60 or HR-220. Data were treated to calculate the standard deviations and are included in the tables.

erythro-3-Deuterio-2-butanol (1) was synthesized by the method of Brown and Subba Rao¹² in 19.5% yield from cis-2-butene (Matheson, CP), and NaBD₄ (99% D, Stohler Isotopes): bp 97 $^{\circ}$ C; NMR δ 0.87 (d, 3 H), 1.10 (d, 3 H), 1.4 (m, 1 H), 3.56 (q, 1 H), 4.47 **(e,** 1 H).

erythro-3-Deuterio-2-butyltosylate (2) was synthesized by the method of Tipson¹⁴ in 74.5% yield from the alcohol: NMR δ 0.77 (d, 3 H), 1.18 (d, 3 H), 1.50 (m, 1 H), 2.34 *(8,* 3 H), 4.55 (q, 1 H), 7.58 **(9,** 4 H).

(Trimethylstanny1)lithium in tetrahydrofuran (THF) was prepared by the method of Tamborski, Ford, and Soloski.³⁰ Lithium wire (1% Na) and Me₃SnCl from Alfa were used without further purification.

threo-3-Deuterio-2-(trimethylstannyl)butane (3) was synthesized in 22% yield from the tosylate 2. A twofold excess of Me₃SnLi was added slowly with stirring to the tosylate 2, and the reaction was allowed to stir for 0.5 h. The reaction was quenched with water and extracted into ether, and the ether layer was washed with saturated NaHCO₃, 2 N HCl, and saturated NaCl solution, dried with $MgSO_4$, and then vacuum distilled: bp 25 ^oC (5 mm); NMR δ 0.11 (s, 9 H), 0.72 (m, 1 H), 0.99 (d, 3 H), 1.24 (m, 3 **H),** 1.64 (m, 1 H). The maea **spectrum has** its most abundant isotope peak at 223 mass units, and its isotope pattern agrees to within about 5% of the predicted pattern.

Quantitative Measurement of Butenes Produced from Reaction of 2-(Trimethylstanny1)butane with Trityl Fluoborate. Methylene chloride (2 mL) containing 2.7×10^{-4} mol of trityl fluoborate was mixed with 3.39×10^{-4} mol of sec-butyltrimethylstannane in 0.3 mL of CH₂Cl₂ in a 10-mL flask closed with a stopcock. Gaseous products were sampled with a gas-tight syringe (Precision Sampling Co.) through a septum connected to the flask through the stopcock. Withdrawn samples were diluted with **air** in the syringe and mixed by closing the needle valve and pumping the plunger. Then the needle valve was opened, and the sample size was reduced. Samples withdrawn were typically 0.025-0.05 mL in the l-mL syringe, with the dilution being 1:4 to 1:8, such that the injected volume was typically 0.025 to 0.05 mL. Repeated injections of the products were made, and the amounts of each product were determined by a disk integrator.

threo-3-Deuterio-2-(trimethylstannyl)butane was treated similarly, 2.44×10^{-4} mol being reacted with 3.24×10^{-4} mol of trityl fluoborate.

Analysis for Deuterium Content in Butenes Produced from Reaction of threo-3-Deuterio-2-(trimethylstannyl) were utilized for mass spectral studies. The analysis for deuterium content in each isomer was achieved by measuring the m/e 55, 56, 57, and 58 peak heights from the mass spectra and correcting these for background peaks if necessary. The $M - 1$ fragmentation was measured as a ratio of the m/e 55/56 peaks from the non-
deuterated cis- and trans-2-butenes, and the M + 1 (¹³C) was
measured similarly as a ratio of the m/e 57/56 peaks. These ratios were 0.033 ± 0.002 and 0.047 ± 0.003 , respectively, for the M - 1 and M + 1 peaks for both cis- and trans-2-butene. The 1-butene produced a value of 0.056 ± 0.003 for the M - 1 fragmentation, but the $M + 1$ peak ratio was identical with the other isomers. The butene products produced from the elimination of the deuterated organostannane were analyzed, and the peak-height ratios were computed **after** correction for the background and the ^M- 1 and M + 1 **peaks** by using the numbers above **as** correction factors for the m/e 56 and 57 peak heights. The corrected peak heights were used to obtain the m/e 56/(56 + 57) and 57/(56 + 57) average peak height ratios which represented the isomeric composition **as** a fraction of the total and are listed in Table I. The ratio for l-butene thus reflected the amount of nondeuterated l-butene contributed by the isotopic impurity in the deuterium source (NaBD₄, Stohler Isotopes, <2%). The ratios for cis- and trans-2-butene represented, in addition to the loss of stereospecificity in the elimination, the amount of isotopic impurity in the deuterium sowce, the amount of trans-2-butene isomeric impurity in the cis-2-butene reagent, and the loss of stereospecificity in the deuterioboration reaction. Clearly, from the measured ratios for 1-butene and cis-2-butene, the isotopic impurity in the $NaBD_4$ alone accounted for correcting the cis-2butene sample, and the other sources of error appeared to be unimportant. Correcting the trans-2-butene similarly (0.024) gave a value of 0.027 for the ratio of nondeuterated trans-2-butene to total trans-2-butene.

Analysis for Deuterium Content in Butene8 Produced from the Reaction of a Mixture of 2-(Trimethylstanny1) butane and threo-3-Deuterio-2-(trimethylstannyl) butane with Trityl Fluoborate. The reaction was carried out **as** above with 6.58×10^{-5} mol of 2-(trimethylstannyl)butane and $6.68 \times$ 10^{-5} mol of *threo-3-deuterio-2-(trimethylstannyl)* butane in 0.5 mL of methylene chloride combined with 7.60×10^{-5} mol of triphenylmethyl fluoborate in 2 mL of methylene chloride. Mass spectral peak heights were measured **as** before and corrected for background, the $M - 1$ fragmentation (0.18 correction factor, measured from the $m/e 55/56$ and $56/57$ peak height ratios from this experiment), and the ¹³C contribution to the M + 1 peak height (again measured to be 0.047). The average ratio of the m/e of 56/57 peaks was computed for *trans*-2-butene and was found to be 1.08 ± 0.12 . The ratio for the 1-butene peak was calculated from a graphical presentation of the measured peak height ratios **as** a function of position along the time coordinate of the **GC** trace. This was necessary **because** the **peak** height ratio changed linearly with the sampling time during elution of the peak, indicating some separation of the deuterated and nondeuterated l-butenes. This was not a problem for the 2-butenes. A linear regression analysis by the method of least squares was used to plot the data and the reported isotopic ratio was that value taken at the midpoint of the GC peaks. This value (1.16) **was** subsequently corrected for the M - 1 and M + 1 contributions **as** before to yield the m/e 56/57 isotopic ratio for 1-butene of 1.04 \pm 0.10, in reasonable agreement with the measured molar ratio of reagent organostannanes of **0.984.** Using the l-butene ratio to normalize the $trans-2$ -butene ratio gives a values of 1.04, whereas normalizing with the molar ratio of reagents givee a value of 1.10, the latter in agreement with the value calculated from the GC integrated peak areas.

Acknowledgment. We are grateful **to** Dr. John Wright for assistance in obtaining the **mass** spectral results.

Registry **No. 1,** 10277-59-5; **2,** 7429-09-6; 3, 71195-43-2; 2-(trimethylstannyl)butane, 15095-79-1; cis-2-butene, 590-18-1; trans-2 butene, 624-64-6; I-butene, 106-98-9.